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M.Langováa, V.KubáŇa and D.Nonova ${ }^{b}$<br>${ }^{a}$ Department of Analytical Chemistry, J. E. Purkynĕ University, 61137 Brno, and<br>${ }^{b}$ Department of Analytical Chemistry, K. Okhridsky University, Sofia, Bulgaria

The complexation equilibria of 1-(2-thiazolylazo)-2-naphthol-3,6-disulphonic acid with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ jons have been studied in aqueous solutions. The composition, molar absorption coefficient and stability constant of the $1: 1$ chelate formed have been evaluated from the absorbance curves by graphical methods and by computer calculations. The conditions for the spectrophotometric determination of copper(II) with this reagent have been found.

1-(2-Thiazolyazo)-2-rephttol-3,6-disulptonic acid (TAN-3,6-S) was first prepared by Kawase, who studied the reagent properties and its complexation equilibria with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\left(\right.$ ref. ${ }^{1}$ ) and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$

(ref. ${ }^{2}$ ) ions spectrophotometrically. Later, the reagent was proposed for the spectrophotometric determination of palladium(II) (ref. ${ }^{3}$ ), as an indicator for chelometric determinations of gallium (III), indium(III) and thallium(III) (ref. ${ }^{4}$ ) and for the mercurimetric determiration of chloride, bromide and thiocyanate ${ }^{5}$. The reagent solubility in water is a great advantage.

In the present paper, the complexation equilibria of 1-(2-thiazolylazo)-2-naphthol-$-3,6$-disulphonic acid with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ions were studied specirophotometrically and evaluated by combined graphical and numerical analysis of the absorbance curves and the conditions for the spectrophotcmetric determination of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ with this reagent in aqueous solutions were specified.

## EXPERIMENTAL AND RESULTS

## Chemicals and Instruments

The titre of the standard solution of p.a. cupric nitrate was determined complexometrically. The purity of 1-(2-thiazolylazo)-2-naphthol-3,6-disulphonic acid (sodium salt, dihydrate) prepared by us and of the sample from the Research Institute of Pure Chemicals, Lachema, Brno, was checked by determining the sodium content, water content by drying at $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, by paper chromatography in an n-butanol-water-acetic acid ( $2: 1: 1$ ) system, by elemental analysis and by spectrophotometric titration with cupric nitrate, using the $1: 1$ chelate at pH 3.5 (a 0.02 m formate buffer) with a final reagent concentration of $2 \cdot 7 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ and $\lambda 580 \mathrm{~nm}$. The ionic strength was adjusted to $I=0.1$ during study of the reagent and of the complexation equilibria in aqueous solutions at $\mathrm{pH}>1$ by additions of potassium nitrate; in some dependences at $\mathrm{pH}<1$, the sum, $c_{\mathrm{HNO}_{3}}+$ $+c_{\mathrm{KNO}}^{3}-1=I=1 \cdot$, was maintained. The spectrophotometric measurements were carried out on a SF-4A spectrophotometer (USSR) in 10 mm cuvettes; the measurements at $I=0.1$ ( $\mathrm{pH}>$ $>1.0$ ) were performed in the continuous apparatus ${ }^{6}$. The pH of the solutions was measured with a pHK-1 instrument (Czechoslovakia) with a Radiometer G 202B glass electrode and a saturated calomel electrode.

Acid-Base Equilibria of 1-(2-Thiazolylazo)-2-naphthol-3,6-disulphonic Acid (TAN-3,6-S)

The dependence of the absorbance of TAN-3,6-S aqueous solutions on pH at ionic strength $I=0.1$ and on $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$(not corrected using activity coefficients) at $I=1 \cdot 0$, at $\lambda 510$ and 560 nm indicate the dissociation of the thiazolium cation of the dye $\left(\mathrm{LH}_{2}^{+}\right)$at $\mathrm{pH}<3$ and the dissociation of the hydroxyl group in ortho position with respect to the azo-group (the LH form) in the pH range, $6-10$ (Fig. 1). In the series of TAN-3,6-S absorption curves in dependence on pH , the equilibria are


Fig. 1
Absorbance vs pH or $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$plots of TAN-3,6-S Solutions
Curve $1 c_{\mathrm{L}}=4 \cdot 10 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, 510 \mathrm{~nm}, x=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right], I 1 \cdot 0 ; 2 c_{\mathrm{L}}=4 \cdot 10 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}, 510 \mathrm{~nm}$, $x=\mathrm{pH}, I 0 \cdot 1 ; 3 c_{\mathrm{L}}=4 \cdot 99 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}, 575 \mathrm{~nm}, x=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right], I 1 \cdot 0 ; 4 c_{\mathrm{L}}=4 \cdot 10.10^{-5}, 560 \mathrm{~nm}$, $x=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right], I 1 \cdot 0 ; 5 c_{\mathrm{L}}=4 \cdot 10 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, 560 \mathrm{~nm}, x=\mathrm{pH}, I 0 \cdot 1$.
characterized by isosbestic points at 466 and 508 nm (Fig. 2). The dissociation constants of the $\mathrm{LH}_{2}^{+}$and LH forms of the reagent, obtained by logarithmic analysis of the absorbance vs pH (or $-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$) dependences for solutions with $c_{\mathrm{L}}=4.00$. $.10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$ and ionic strength $I=0 \cdot 1$ and $1 \cdot 0$ and with $c_{\mathrm{L}}=5 \cdot 00.10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}$ and $I=1 \cdot 0$, and the values obtained by computation employing the PRCEK II program (ref. ${ }^{7}$ ), are given in Table I, along with the $\lambda_{\max }$ and molar absorption coefficient values.

## Complexation Equilibria in Solutions of TAN-3,6-S with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$

The absorption curves of $3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ TAN-3,6-S solutions with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ concentrations increasing up to $6 \cdot 53 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ at pH 3.92 show simple equilibrium between the orange reagent (HL) and the blue-purple CuL complex with $\lambda_{\max } 575 \mathrm{~nm}$ (main maximum) and 415 nm . Because of the existence of an isosbestic point at 523 nm , no other equilibria are probable under the given conditions (Fig. 3). In order to follow the effect of acidity on the complexation equilibria, two procedures were again employed. In equimolar solutions with $c_{\mathrm{L}}=c_{\mathrm{M}}=3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$, with an ascending branch at $\mathrm{pH}>1$, the pH was measured with a glass electrode and constant ionic strength $I=0.1$ was maintained by addition of potassium nitrate (Fig. 4, curve 1). In dependences with an excess of one of the components $\left(c_{\mathrm{M}} / c_{\mathrm{L}}=25-100\right.$ and $c_{\mathrm{L}} / c_{\mathrm{M}}=15$ ) and with the ascending branch at $\mathrm{pH}<1$, defined amounts of nitric acid and potassium nitrate were added to the solutions, so that the sum of their molarities was constant, $I=c_{\mathrm{HNO}_{3}}+c_{\mathrm{KNO}_{3}}=1 \cdot 0$, the acidity being expressed by the $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$ value, not corrected using activity coefficients (Fig. 4, curves 2-4 and 6). From Fig. 4 it is evident that in solutions with excess metal or ligand the complex begins to form in about 1 m acid, while in equimolar solutions it is formed above pH 1 . While


Fig. 2
Absorption Spectra of TAN-3,6-S Solutions at Various pH Values
$c_{\mathrm{L}}=3.44 .10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, I 0 \cdot 1 . \mathrm{pH}$ : curve $13 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{HClO}_{4}, 20 \cdot 40,30 \cdot 75,41.03,51.34,61 \cdot 64,71 \cdot 95$, $82 \cdot 64,3 \cdot 75$ and $4.44,97.04107 \cdot 47,117 \cdot 67,127 \cdot 73,138 \cdot 06,148 \cdot 40,158 \cdot 93,1610.04$ and $11 \cdot 67$.
the equimolar curves and those with excess metal have almost identical horizontal parts in solutions with excess of reagent, $c_{\mathrm{L}} / c_{\mathrm{M}}=15$ and 10 , the absorbance in the horizontal part is lower (Fig. 4, curves 6 and 7).

## Methods for Studying Complexation Equilibria

The molar absorption coefficient and the equilibrium constant values were determined from the absorbance plots $v s \mathrm{pH},\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right), c_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $c_{\mathrm{L}}$, employing the graphical analysis described earlier ${ }^{7}$ and using the PRCEK III program ${ }^{8}$ and a MSP 2A computer. This program simulates in fact the usual operations carried out during application of classical graphical methods. The only significant difference is that the

Table I
The Molar Absorption Coefficients and Dissociation Constants of TAN-3,6-S

| $\lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}$ | $\varepsilon_{\lambda_{\text {max }}}, \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $I^{b}$ | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 430-460 | $15653 \pm 42^{c}$ | $\mathrm{LH}_{2}^{+}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $1.04^{d} \pm 0.02$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | computer |
|  |  | $1.06^{e}$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | graphical |
|  | $15579 \pm 42$ | $0.97^{f} \pm 0.02$ | 1.0 | computer |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 94^{g}$ | 1.0 | graphical |
|  |  | $0.93^{h} \pm 0.01$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | computer ${ }^{i}$ |
|  |  | $0.95^{j}$ |  | graphical ${ }^{i}$ |
| 490 | $17682 \pm 29$ | LH |  |  |
|  |  | $7.86^{k} \pm 0.02$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |  |
|  |  | $7.96^{l}{ }^{\text {l }}$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | graphical |
|  | $17989 \pm 51$ | $7.36^{m} \pm 0.02$ | 1.0 | computer |
|  | $18060$ | $7 \cdot 44^{n}$ | 1.0 | graphical |
| 540 |  | $\mathrm{L}^{-}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 17255 \pm 211 \\ & 17128 \pm 66 \\ & 17694 \end{aligned}$ |  | $0 \cdot 1$ | computer |
|  |  |  | $1 \cdot 0$ | computer |
|  |  |  | 1.0 | graphical |

${ }^{a} K_{\mathrm{a}}=\left[\mathrm{LH}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right][\mathrm{H}] /\left[\mathrm{LH}_{\mathrm{n}}\right] ;{ }^{b}$ the ionic strength, adjusted to $I 1 \cdot 0$ by a constant sum of $c_{\mathrm{HNO}_{3}}+$ $+c_{\mathrm{KNO}_{3}}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ for the $A=\mathrm{f}\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$curves; ${ }^{c}$ at $430 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{d}$ the average of the values, $1.00 \pm 0.01(510 \mathrm{~nm}), 1.08 \pm 0.03(430 \mathrm{~nm}), 1.04 \pm 0.02(490 \mathrm{~nm}) ;{ }^{e}$ at $510 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{f}$ the average of the values, $0.97 \pm 0.01(510 \mathrm{~nm}), 0.98 \pm 0.01(430 \mathrm{~nm}), 0.92 \pm 0.02(490 \mathrm{~nm}), 1.01 \pm 0.01$ $(540 \mathrm{~nm}) ;{ }^{g}$ the average of the values, $0.96(510 \mathrm{~nm}), 0.92(490 \mathrm{~nm}) ;{ }^{h}$ the average of the values, $0.93 \pm 0.01(560 \mathrm{~nm})$ and $0.92 \pm 0.01(575 \mathrm{~nm}) ;^{i} c_{\mathrm{L}}=5.00 .10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$, otherwise $c_{\mathrm{L}}=4.00$. $.10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ;{ }^{j}$ at $575 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{k}$ the average of the values, $7.83 \pm 0.01(575 \mathrm{~nm}), 7.90 \pm 0.02(540 \mathrm{~nm})$; ${ }^{t}$ at $560 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{m}$ the average of the values, $7.36 \pm 0.02(560 \mathrm{~nm}), 7.35 \pm 0.02(575 \mathrm{~nm})$ and $7.36 \pm$ $\pm 0.02(540 \mathrm{~nm}){ }^{n}$ the average of the values, $7.42(560 \mathrm{~nm})$ and $7.46(540 \mathrm{~nm})$.
parameters of the linear plots obtained by means of a suitable transformed equation ${ }^{7}$ are sought by the objective linear least squares method and the values of the basic characteristics of the complex species (the molar absorption coefficient and the equilibrium constant) are determined by successive approximations. As the initial step $A_{\mathrm{oi}}$ values of the absorbances of the two horizontal parts of the absorbance $v s \mathrm{pH}$ curve are used and the $A_{o i}$ values resulting from the previous cycle are employed in each successive approximation. After attaining the required agreement between two


Fig. 3
Absorption Spectra of TAN-3,6-S Solutions with Increasing $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ concentration
$c_{\mathrm{L}}=3 \cdot 30.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH} 3 \cdot 92, I 0 \cdot 1 . c_{\mathrm{M}}$ : curve $10,23 \cdot 63 \cdot 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}, 37 \cdot 25 \cdot 10^{-6}{ }_{\mathrm{M}, 4} 4 \cdot 09 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$, $51 \cdot 45.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, 61 \cdot 81 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, 72 \cdot 18 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, 82 \cdot 54.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, 92 \cdot 90 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, 103 \cdot 26.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$, $116 \cdot 53.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$.


Fig. 4
Absorbance $v s \mathrm{pH}$ or $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$Plots of TAN-3,6-S Solution in Presence of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$
Curves $1-5: c_{\mathrm{L}}=3 \cdot 30.10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; 1 c_{\mathrm{M}}=c_{\mathrm{L}}, 2 c_{\mathrm{M}} / c_{\mathrm{L}}=25 \cdot 3,3 c_{\mathrm{M}} / c_{\mathrm{L}}=50 \cdot 6,4 c_{\mathrm{M}} / c_{\mathrm{L}}=100 \cdot 6$, $5 c_{\mathrm{M}}=0,6 c_{\mathrm{M}}=3 \cdot 30.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, c_{\mathrm{L}} / c_{\mathrm{M}}=15 \cdot 1,7 c_{\mathrm{M}}=3 \cdot 30.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, c_{\mathrm{L}} / c_{\mathrm{M}}=10.1 x=\mathrm{pH}$, $I=0 \cdot 1 ; 2-6: x=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right], I 1 \cdot 0 ; 7 x=\mathrm{pH}, I 0 \cdot 5$.
successive $A_{\mathrm{oi}}$ values or after attaining a maximum number of cycles, the results are printed in tables containing all the necessary data on the studied system. The most probable reaction mechanism is chosen only for a single computing cycle, the values of the coefficients in equation $(A)$ being gradually fed into the machine from a coupled typewriter; on the basis of the correlation coefficient and the sum of squares of the deviations, the most suitable $m, q, n$ combination is then selected. More details on the application of the PRCEK program have been published elsewhere ${ }^{9,10}$. The chelate composition was also determined by the variation method in equimolar solutions.

The spectrophotometric analytical curve, $A=\mathrm{f}\left(c_{\mathrm{Cu}}\right)$, was evaluated on the MSP 2A computer using the LLSQM program ${ }^{11}$. During calculation of the molar absorption coefficients and the constants of the reagent and the chelate, their standard deviations were also calculated by the least squares method and recorded in the tables of results.

## Graphical Analysis of the Absorbance Curves

The ascending parts of the absorbance vs pH or absorbance vs $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$plots for solutions of TAN-3,6-S with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ (Fig. 4, curves $1-4$ and 6 ) were subjected to direct and logarithmic analysis, using equations (1)-(6) derived earlier ${ }^{7}$. For equilibrium

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{mM}+\mathrm{nLH}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{qH}^{+} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equilibrium constant $k=\left[\mathrm{ML}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}\right][\mathrm{H}]^{\mathrm{q}} /[\mathrm{M}]\left[\mathrm{LH}_{\mathrm{x}}\right]^{\mathrm{n}}$, neglecting the metal ion absorbance and on the condition that $c_{\mathrm{M}}=c_{\mathrm{L}}$, the equation was used:

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{\mathrm{L}} / A=1 / \varepsilon+\sqrt{\left(Z A-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}} c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)}\left[\overline{\mathrm{H}]^{\mathrm{q}}} \sqrt{\left(Z \varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)} / A \sqrt{Z \varepsilon \sqrt{ } k}\right.  \tag{1}\\
\log \left(Z A-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} c_{\mathrm{L}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon c_{\mathrm{L}}-A\right)^{2} Z=q \mathrm{pH}+\log k-\log \left(Z \varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $c_{\mathrm{M}}>c_{\mathrm{L}}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\varepsilon c_{\mathrm{L}} / n-\left\{\left(Z A-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)\left(n \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}}-\varepsilon Z\right)^{\mathrm{n}-1}[\mathrm{H}]^{\mathrm{q}}\right\} /\left(n A-\varepsilon c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{\mathrm{n}-1} k n c_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{m}}  \tag{3}\\
\log \left(Z A-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} c_{\mathrm{L}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon c_{\mathrm{L}}-n A\right)^{\mathrm{n}}+(n-1) \log \left(Z \varepsilon-n \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)= \\
=q \mathrm{pH}+m \log c_{\mathrm{M}}+\log k \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $c_{\mathrm{L}}>c_{\mathrm{M}}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{\mathrm{M}} /\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right)=1 / \varepsilon+[\mathrm{H}]^{\mathrm{q}} Z / k \varepsilon c_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{n}}  \tag{5}\\
\log \left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) Z /\left(\varepsilon c_{\mathrm{M}}-A+A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right)=\log k+q \mathrm{pH}+n \log c_{\mathrm{L}} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

In these equations, $Z=1+[\mathrm{H}] / K_{\mathrm{a} 1}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{LH}}[\mathrm{H}] / K_{\mathrm{a} 1}, A_{\mathrm{oL}}=\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} c_{\mathrm{L}} / Z$, $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{LH}}$ are the molar absorption coefficients of the LH and $\mathrm{LH}_{2}^{+}$forms of the reagent, respectively, and $\varepsilon$ is the molar absorption coefficient of the chelate.

The straight lines obtained by analysis of the absorbance vs pH or $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$ curves, using equations (1), (3) and (5) after substitution of the values $n=q=1$, verified the validity of the equilibrium

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{LH} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CuL}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the charges are omitted for the sake of simplicity). From the intercepts of these straight lines with the $y$-axis, the molar absorption coefficient of the CuL chelate was determined (Fig. 5, curves $1-5$ ).

The logarithmic plots constructed by means of equations (2), (4) and (6), with unit slopes also verified that one proton is liberated during the formation of the CuL chelate and were employed for the determination of the equilibrium constant for equi-

Table II
The Values of the Molar Absorption Coefficient and the Equilibrium Constant of Chelate CuL, Obtained from the $A=\mathrm{f}\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$Plots at $I=1 \cdot 0\left(\mathrm{KNO}_{3}\right)$

| $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon(575 \mathrm{~nm}) \\ \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\log k_{2}{ }^{a}$ | $r_{x y}{ }^{b}$ | $U^{c}$ | $\begin{aligned} & c_{\mathrm{M}} / c_{\mathrm{L}}^{d} \\ & \left(c_{\mathrm{L}} / c_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24591 | 2.03 |  |  | $100 \cdot 6$ | graphical, 575 mm |
| $24863 \pm 1709$ | $2.09 \pm 0.01$ | 0.99778 | $0 \cdot 5877 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $100 \cdot 6$ | computer, 575 nm |
| $24545^{\text {e }}$ | $2 \cdot 11 \pm 0.06$ |  |  | $100 \cdot 6$ | computer, average ${ }^{f}$ |
| 25454 | 2.08 |  |  | 50.6 | graphical, 575 nm |
| $24454 \pm 3297$ | $2.13 \pm 0.07$ | $0 \cdot 99995$ | $0.2919 .10^{-1}$ | $50 \cdot 6$ | computer, 575 nm |
| $24545^{\text {e }}$ | $2.11 \pm 0.04$ |  |  | $50 \cdot 6$ | computer, average $f$ |
| 24773 | 2-11 |  |  | $25 \cdot 3$ | graphical, 575 nm |
| $25350 \pm 1646$ | $2.15 \pm 0.04$ | 0.99959 | $0 \cdot 1244 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $25 \cdot 3$ | computer, 575 nm |
| $24787^{e}$ | $2.14 \pm 0.03$ |  |  | $25 \cdot 3$ | computer, average $f$ |
| 20.833 | 2.17 |  |  | (15.1) | graphical, 575 nm |
| $21.997 \pm 525$ | $2 \cdot 12 \pm 0.00$ | 0.99982 | $0.9234 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | (15.1) | computer, 575 nm |
| $20697^{e}$ | $2 \cdot 12 \pm 0.06$ |  |  | (15.1) | computer, average ${ }^{f}$ |

[^0]librium (B), $k_{1}=[\mathrm{CuL}]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] /[\mathrm{Cu}][\mathrm{LH}]$. Transformation (5) for solutions with excess reagent, $c_{\mathrm{L}} / c_{\mathrm{M}}=15 \cdot 1$, is also linear; the original absorbance curve, $A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}=$ $=\mathrm{f}\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)($Fig. 4, curve 6) has a lower horizontal part. This anomaly was manifested only in a lower molar absorption coefficient value compared to the other results.

The resulting values of the molar absorption coefficient of the CuL chelate at 575 nm and its equilibrium constant for $I=1 \cdot 0$, obtained by the graphical methods, the molar absorption coefficient values obtained from the horizontal parts of the absorbance curves and the equilibrium constant values obtained by computer treatment are summarized in Table II. The values obttained from the equimolar pH -curves are given in Table III for $I=0 \cdot 1$. The absorbance dependences of solutions of $3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ TAN-3,6-S on the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ concentration in the range $0-5 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 1 \cdot 12$ and $1 \cdot 63$, and the absorbance $v s$ TAN-3,6-S concentration plots for


Fig. 5
Graphical Analysis of the Absorbance vs pH , or $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right), c_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $c_{\mathrm{L}}$ Plots in Solutions of TAN-3,6-S with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ at 575 nm

1 direct analysis of the curve, $A=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{pH}), I 0 \cdot 1, c_{\mathrm{M}}=c_{\mathrm{L}}=3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}}, x_{1}=\sqrt{\left[\left(Z A-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)\right.}$. $\left.\left.\cdot[\mathrm{H}]\left(Z \varepsilon-\bar{\delta}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)\right] / A \sqrt{(Z} \times 10\right), y_{2}=\left(c_{\mathrm{L}} / A\right) \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot 2-5$ analysis of the curves, $A=\mathrm{f}\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$, $I 1 \cdot 0 ; 2 c_{\mathrm{L}}=3 \cdot 30.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, c_{\mathrm{M}} / c_{\mathrm{L}}=25 \cdot 3, x_{1}=\left(Z A-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)[\mathrm{H}] .10, y_{2}=A .10 ; 3 c_{\mathrm{L}}=3 \cdot 30$. $.10^{-5}{ }_{\mathrm{M}}, c_{\mathrm{M}} / c_{\mathrm{L}}=100 \cdot 6, x_{2}=\left(Z A-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)[\mathrm{H}], y_{2}=A .10 ; 4 c_{\mathrm{L}}=3 \cdot 30.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, c_{\mathrm{M}} / c_{\mathrm{L}}=$ $=50 \cdot 6, x_{1}=\left(Z A-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)[\mathrm{H}] .10, y_{2}=A \cdot 10 ; 5 c_{\mathrm{M}}=3 \cdot 30.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, c_{\mathrm{L}} / c_{\mathrm{M}}=15 \cdot 1, x_{2}=$ $=Z[\mathrm{H}], y_{3}=c_{\mathrm{M}} /\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) \cdot 10^{4} ; 6,7$ analysis of the curves, $A=\mathbf{f}\left(c_{\mathrm{M}}\right), c_{\mathrm{L}}=3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$, $I 0 \cdot 1 ; 6 \mathrm{pH} 1 \cdot 12, x_{2}=1 /\left[c_{\mathrm{M}}-\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right)\right] .10^{-4}, \quad y_{2}=c_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot 10^{5} /\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) ;$ $7 \mathrm{pH} 1 \cdot 63, x_{1}=1 /\left[c_{\mathrm{M}}-\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right)\right] \cdot 10^{-4}, y_{1}=c_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot 10^{5} /\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) ; 8,9$ analysis of the curves, $A=\mathbf{f}\left(c_{\mathrm{L}}\right), c_{\mathrm{M}}=3 \cdot 29.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, I 0 \cdot 1 ; 8 \mathrm{pH} 1 \cdot 16, x_{3}=1 /\left[c_{\mathrm{L}}-\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}}\right.\right.$ ! (Z]). $10^{-4}, y_{1}=c_{\mathrm{M}} \cdot 10^{5} /\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) ; 9 \mathrm{pH} 1 \cdot 65, x_{2}=1 /\left[c_{\mathrm{L}}-\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right)\right] \cdot 10^{-5}$, $y_{1}=c_{\mathrm{M}} \cdot 10^{5} /\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right)$.
solutions of $3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ up to $5 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 1 \cdot 16$ and $1 \cdot 65$ and $I=0 \cdot 1$ (Fig. 6, curves $1-4$ ) were treated graphically employing equations (7) -(10) for direct and logarithmic analysis of the concentration dependences, derived earlier ${ }^{7}$. It holds for the $A=\mathrm{f}\left(c_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$ dependence that

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{\mathrm{L}} /\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right)= 1 /\left(\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right)+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{\mathrm{q}} Z^{\mathrm{n}} /\left\{c_{\mathrm{M}}-n\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\right. \\
&\left./\left(\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} Z\right)\right\}^{\mathrm{m}}\left(\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right) k  \tag{7}\\
& \log \left\{\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon c_{\mathrm{L}}-A\right)\right\}= \\
& m \log \left\{c_{\mathrm{M}}-m\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} Z\right)\right\}+q \mathrm{pH}+\log k-\log Z . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

For the $A=f\left(c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ dependence it is valid that

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{\mathrm{M}} /\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right)=  \tag{9}\\
=1 /\left(\varepsilon-n \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right)+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{\mathrm{a}} Z^{\mathrm{q}} /\left\{c_{\mathrm{L}}-n\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right)\right\}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\varepsilon-n \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right) k \\
\log \left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\left[c_{\mathrm{M}}-\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon-n \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right)\right]=\log k+q \mathrm{pH}+ \\
+n \log \left[c_{\mathrm{L}}-n\left(A-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right) /\left(\varepsilon-n \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right)\right]+\log \left(\varepsilon-n \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} / Z\right)-n \log Z \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$



Fig. 6
Absorbance $v s c_{\mathrm{M}}$ or $c_{\mathrm{L}}$ Plots in Solutions of TAN-3,6-S with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$
Curves $1-710 \cdot 1,575 \mathrm{~nm} ; 8-10 I 0 \cdot 5$ or $I 1 \cdot 0,580 \mathrm{~nm} .1 c_{\mathrm{L}}=3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, x_{1}=c_{\mathrm{M}} \cdot 10^{4}$ $\mathrm{pH} 1 \cdot 12 ; 2 c_{\mathrm{L}}=3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, x_{1}=c_{\mathrm{M}} \cdot 10^{4}, \mathrm{pH} 1 \cdot 63 ; 3 c_{\mathrm{M}}=3 \cdot 29 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, x_{1}=c_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot 10^{4}$, $\mathrm{pH} 1 \cdot 16 ; 4 c_{\mathrm{M}}=3 \cdot 29 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}}, x_{1}=c_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot 10^{4}$, pH 1.65; curves $5-9: c_{\mathrm{M}}=0 ; 5 x_{1}=c_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot 10^{4}$ $\mathrm{pH} 1 \cdot 16 ; 6 x_{1}=c_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot 10^{4}, \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{1.65;7} x_{1}=c_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot 10^{4}, \mathrm{pH} 2.85$ ( 0.05 M chloroacetate), $I 0 \cdot 1 ; 8 x_{2}=$ $=c_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot 10^{4}, \mathrm{pH} 3.84(0.05 \mathrm{M}$ chloroacetate $), I 0.5 ; 9 x_{2}=c_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot 10^{4}, \mathrm{pH} 2.80(0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ chloroacetate) $I 1 \cdot 0 ; 10 c_{\mathrm{M}}=3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, x_{2}=c_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot 10^{4}, \mathrm{pH} 2 \cdot 66(0.05 \mathrm{M}$ chloroacetate $), I 0 \cdot 5$, reagent absorbance subtracted.

The straight lines related to the concentration dependences with the help of equations (7) and (9) after substituting $m=n=q=1$ verified equilibrium (B) and yielded the value of the molar absorption coefficient of the CuL chelate from the intercept on the $y$-axis (Fig. 5, curves $6-9$ ). The unit slope of the logarithmic plots according to equations (8) and ( 10 ) verified that one ligand is bound to central ion $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$; equilibrium constant $k_{1}$ for chelate CuL was also determined from these straight lines. The distortion of the boundary parts of the straight lines obtained during the analysis of the $A=\mathrm{f}\left(c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ plot in a region of $c_{\mathrm{L}}>1.07 .10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ (Fig. 5 , curve 9 ) is apparently connected with the above-mentioned anomalous decrease in the absorbance of solutions containing excess reagent. The resulting values of the molar absorption coefficient of chelate CuL at 575 nm and the equilibrium constant for $I=0.1$ are summarized in Table III, together with the values obtained by the computer.

## Interpretation of the Absorbance Curves Using the PRCEK III Program

Analysis of the absorbance vs pH or $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$curves was carried out for various concentration ratios on the basis of similar assumptions as those made in the graphical

## Table III

The Values of the Molar Absorption Coefficient and the Equilibrium Constant of Chelate CuL, Obtained from the pH -Curves of Equimolar Solutions and from the Concentration Dependences at $I 0 \cdot 1\left(\mathrm{KNO}_{3}\right)$

| $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon(575 \mathrm{~nm}) \\ & \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\log k_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $r_{x y}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $U^{c}$ | pH | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24155 | 2.39 |  |  | $1 \cdot 1-4 \cdot 2^{d}$ | graphical, 575 nm |
| $24071 \pm 1024$ | $2.31 \pm 0.02$ | 0.99975 | $0.4819 .10^{-2}$ | $1 \cdot 1-4 \cdot 2$ | computer, 575 nm |
| $23939{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $2.36 \pm 0.03$ |  |  | 1.1-4.2 | computer, average ${ }^{f}$ |
| 24213 | 2.27 | 0.99881 | $0.4897 .10^{-1}$ | $1 \cdot 12$ | graphical, 575 nm computer, 575 nm computer, average ${ }^{f}$ |
| $21586 \pm 863$ | $2.39 \pm 0.02$ |  |  | $1 \cdot 12$ |  |
|  | $2.27 \pm 0.03$ |  |  | $1 \cdot 12$ |  |
| 24879 | 2.29 | 0.99819 | $0 \cdot 2706.10^{-1}$ | 1.63 | graphical, 575 nm computer, 575 nm computer, average ${ }^{f}$ |
| $24722 \pm 314$ | $2.27 \pm 0.05$ |  |  | 1.63 |  |
|  | $2.30 \pm 0.04$ |  |  | 1.63 |  |
| 21688 | $2 \cdot 34$ | 0.99619 | $0 \cdot 1442 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $1 \cdot 16$ | graphical, 575 nm computer, 1575 nm computer, average ${ }^{f}$ |
| $23357 \pm 490$ | $2.21 \pm 0.04$ |  |  | $1 \cdot 16$ |  |
|  | $2.29 \pm 0.07$ |  |  | $1 \cdot 16$ |  |
| 23042 | 2.33 | 0.99740 | 0.4498 | 1.65 | graphical, 575 nm computer, 575 nm computer, average ${ }^{f}$ |
| $24078 \pm 1924$ | $2.27 \pm 0.01$ |  |  | 1.65 |  |
|  | $2.24 \pm 0.02$ |  |  | 1.65 |  |

$a, b, c, e, f$ See notes at Table II, ${ }^{d}$ the absorbance-pH curve, otherwise concentration dependences.
analysis and employing equations analogous to equations (3)-(6) for general equilibrium $(A)$, simultaneously considering the ligand dissociation, $(C)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{mM}+\mathrm{nLH}_{\mathrm{x}} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}+q \mathrm{H}^{+} ; \mathrm{LH}_{\mathrm{x}} \rightarrow \mathrm{LH}_{\mathrm{x}-1}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following equilibria were considered as possible:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{LH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CuL}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}  \tag{D}\\
& \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{LH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CuLH}+\mathrm{H}^{+}  \tag{E}\\
& \mathrm{Cu}+2 \mathrm{LH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CuL}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}  \tag{F}\\
& \mathrm{Cu}+2 \mathrm{LH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{LH})_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}  \tag{G}\\
& 2 \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{LH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{LH}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{H}
\end{align*}
$$

For the calculation values read directly from the graphs were employed as the input absorbance values for both horizontal parts of the absorbance-pH curves. Because the calculation is time-consuming, the selection of the most probable reaction mechanism, $(D)-(H)$, was carried out only for a single wavelength, namely that of the complex absorption maximum, 575 nm . The correlation coefficients and the sum of the squares of the deviations were used as criteria for evaluating the suitability of particular mechanisms. Correlation coefficients closest to unity and the smallest values of the sum of the squares of the deviations were obtained for reaction mechanism (D), i.e. the formation of complex CuL , which is in agreement with the results of the graphical methods.

All the absorbance vs pH or $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$curves were then tested at wavelengths of $540,560,575$ and 600 nm for reaction mechanism $(D)$. The values of the molar absorption coefficient of chelate CuL , equilibrium constant $k_{2}=[\mathrm{CuL}][\mathrm{H}]^{2} /$ $[\mathrm{Cu}]\left[\mathrm{LH}_{2}\right]$ at 575 nm and $I=1 \cdot 0$, their standard deviations, the $r_{\mathrm{xy}}$ and $U$ values and the average values of $k_{2}$ for all wavelengths studied are given in Table II, together with the values obtained by the graphical methods.

The concentration dependence curves, $A=\mathrm{f}\left(c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ and $A=\mathrm{f}\left(c_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$, at a constant pH were tested analogously for equilibrium $(D)$, i.e. for coefficients $m=n=1, q=2$, using modified equations (7) and (9). The values of the molar absorption coefficient of chelate CuL , equilibrium constant $k_{2}$ for $I=0.1$ and $\lambda 575 \mathrm{~nm}$, their standard deviations, the $r_{\mathrm{xy}}$ and $U$ values and the average values of $k_{2}$ for $\lambda 540,560,575$ and 600 nm are summarized in Table III.

The values of the stability constant of chelate $\mathrm{CuL}, \beta_{11}=[\mathrm{CuL}] /[\mathrm{Cu}][\mathrm{L}]$, calculated from the relation, $\beta_{11}=k_{2} / K_{a 1} K_{\mathrm{a} 2}$, using the average values of $k_{2}, K_{\mathrm{a} 1}$
and $K_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ obtained by the computer, are $\log \beta_{11}=11 \cdot 19$ for $I=0 \cdot 1$ and $\log \beta_{11}=$ $=10.43$ for $I=1.0$, in this case the acidity being expressed in terms of $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$. The average value of the molar absorption coefficient of chelate CuL at 575 nm is $\varepsilon=24 \cdot 414$, not considering the anomalous values obtained with excess reagent.

## Job Plots in Equimolar Solutions

In solutions with $c_{\mathrm{M}}+c_{\mathrm{L}}=6.60 .10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ and pH 1.05 to 9.45 , the existence of the 1:1 complex was verified by the method of continuous variations (Fig. 7). The plot at pH 9.45 is lower than that at pH 4.99 , although the same chelate is formed in both cases. This decrease is due to subtraction of higher absorbance values of the purple ligand form in the alkaline medium over the whole range of the plot, while, with the stable complex, it would be more suitable to subtract the reagent absorbance only in the right-hand half of the variation curve.

## The Spectrophotometric Determination of Copper(II) with TAN-3,6-S

A pH range from 2.5 to 4.5 can be recommended for the determination of cupric ions with TAN-3,6-S, since the absorbance of the complex ( CuL ) and the reagent (the LH form) are constant within this range (Fig. 4, curve 7). 0.5 M chloroacetate, $0 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~m}$ formate and $0 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~m}$ acetate buffers do not affect the absorbance. Because of the presence of traces of metal impurities in the chemicals (buffers and potassium nitrate used for adjustment of the ionic strength) and possibly some other metals in the samples analyzed, the interference from which increases with increasing pH , it is desirable to maintain the conditions constant, expecially the concentrations of the chemicals added and the acidity (the latter is best kept at the beginning of the above given range) and to check the blank determination. During the following experiments, the solution acidity was adjusted to pH 2.6 with a 0.05 m chloroacetate buffer.

Fig. 7
Job Curves of TAN-3,6-S Solutions with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ $c_{0}=6 \cdot 60.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, I 0 \cdot 1,575 \mathrm{~nm} . \mathrm{pH}: 11 \cdot 05,21 \cdot 65$, 34.99, 49.45.


Table IV
The Statistical Parameters for the Spectrophotometric Calibration Curve for the Determination of Copper(II) with TAN-3,6-S, Obtained by the MSP 2A Computer Using the LLSQM Program

| $\lambda, \mathrm{nm}$ | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{CuL}^{2}}$ <br> $\mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{LH}}$ <br> $\mathrm{cm}_{\mathrm{M}}-1$ | $q^{a}$ <br> A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 575 | $20916 \pm 59$ | $836 \pm 56$ | $0 \cdot 276 \pm 0 \cdot 002$ |

The reagent concentration of $c_{\mathrm{L}}=3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ was employed for the determination of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ because of the anomalous shape of the absorbance vs TAN-3,6-S concentration dependence for solutions containing $3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$, where the horizontal part is not developed, but a maximum is reached at $c_{\mathbf{L}}=1.10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ folllowed by a slight decrease (Fig. 6 curve 10). This phenomenon is probably connected with the anomalous shape of the concentration dependence for the reagent alone, where absorbances higher than that corresponding to a linear dependence are obtained at higher concentrations, expecially at a higher ionic strength (Fig. 6, curves 7-9). Fluctuations in the ionic strength of the solutions affect the absorbance of $3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ reagent solutions, expecially at low values, $I<0 \cdot 5$, where the dependence of the reagent absorbance on the ionic strength exponentially increases. The absorbance of the complex $\left(\Delta A=A_{\text {total }}-A_{\mathrm{oL}}\right)$ first slightly decreases with increasing ionic strength and is then constant from $I=0.5$. Therefore it is suitable to adjust the ionic strength with potassium nitrate to $I=0 \cdot 5$. The characteristic constants of the calibration curve for the determination of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ with TAN-3,6-S, obtained on the MSP 2A computer using the LLSQM program for a $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ concentration range of 0.017 to $2.80 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for $c_{\mathrm{L}}=3.30 .10^{-4} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH} 2.6(0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ chloroacetate buffer), $I=0.5$ $\left(\mathrm{KNO}_{3}\right), \lambda 575-590 \mathrm{~nm}$ and a 10 mm cuvette, are given in Table IV. The limiting concentrations of some ions, causing an error of $\pm 2 \%$ rel. at a $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ concentration of $1.37 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and under the recommended conditions, are summàrized in Table V .

Organic solvents decrease the reagent absorbance somewhat and, on the other hand, increase the complex absorbance. For example, the reagent absorbance decreases by $15-20 \%$ rel. and that of the complex increases by 5 or $9 \%$ rel. in the presence of $20 \%$ vol. ethanol or dimethylformamide, respectively.

## DISCUSSION

TAN-3,6-S forms a $1: 1$ chelate with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ions in aqueous solutions with $c_{\mathrm{L}}<10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ and pH from 0 to $9 \cdot 5$, with an absorption maximum at $\lambda_{\max } 575 \mathrm{~nm}$, the molar

Table IV
(coninued)

| $\begin{gathered} s^{b} \\ \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} m^{c} \\ \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sensitivity }^{d} \\ & \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $r_{k}{ }^{e}$ | $U^{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0093 | 0.0279 | 0.0304 | 0.9999 | $2 \cdot 46 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.0060 | 0.0176 | 0.0298 | $1 \cdot 0000$ | $1 \cdot 02 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| $0 \cdot 0068$ | 0.0218 | $0 \cdot 0323$ | 0.9999 | $1 \cdot 33 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Section $q=\left(\sum y_{\mathrm{i}}-k \sum x_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / n ;{ }^{b}$ standard deviation $s=1000 s_{\mathrm{xy}}$ at.weight $\mathrm{Cu} / \varepsilon_{\mathrm{CuL}}$, where $s_{\mathrm{xy}}=\sqrt{\left[\left(Y_{\mathrm{i}}-y_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2} /(n-2)\right]}, x_{\mathrm{i}}$ the concentration values, $y_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $Y_{\mathrm{i}}$ the measured and calculated absorbance values, respectively, $n$ the number of experimental points ( $n=14$ ); ${ }^{c}$ detection limit ${ }^{12}$ $m_{\mathrm{s}}=3 \mathrm{~s}$, simplified for the statistical evaluation of the calibration curve; ${ }^{d}$ the sensitivity index according to Sandell ${ }^{13}$ (for $\boldsymbol{A}=0.010$ ); ${ }^{e}$ correlation coefficient ${ }^{14} r_{\mathrm{k}}=\left[\sum x_{\mathrm{i}} \sum y_{\mathrm{i}}-n \sum x_{\mathrm{i}} y_{\mathrm{i}}\right] /$ $/ \sqrt{\left[\left(\sum x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}-n \sum x_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right]\left[\left(\sum y_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}-n \sum y_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right]}{ }^{f}$ the sum of the squares of the deviations, $U=$ $=\sum\left(Y_{\mathrm{i}}-y_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}$.

## Table V

The Effect of Some Ions on the Determination of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ with TAN-3,6-S
Taken $1.37 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, 3 \cdot 30.10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ TAN-3,6-S, pH $2 \cdot 6$ ( 0.05 m chloroacetate buffer), $I 0.5\left(\mathrm{KNO}_{3}\right), \lambda 580 \mathrm{~nm}, 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ cuvettes.

| Ion | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}^{a}$ | Ion/ $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {b }}$ | Ion | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ | $\operatorname{Ion} / \mathrm{Cu}^{\text {b }}$ or m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $0 \cdot 115$ | 0.084 | $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ | 1724 | 1258 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | 0.060 | 0.044 | Mg ${ }^{+}$ | 5382 | 3928 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ | 0.339 | 0.24 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ | 8136 | 0.085 |
| $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ | $1 \cdot 12$ | 0.82 | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | 6360 | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ | $49 \cdot 3$ | $40 \cdot 0$ | $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | 11760 | $0 \cdot 15$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ | 23.4 | 17.1 | $\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}$ | 15270 | $0 \cdot 16$ |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ | 48.8 | $35 \cdot 6$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-c}$ | 170 | 0.0019 |
| $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ | 129 | 94.2 | citric acid ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 12640 | 0.066 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ | 1700 | 1240 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ | 532 | 388 | sulphosalicylic acid | 7320 | 0.029 |

[^1]absorption coefficient $\varepsilon=2.44 .10^{4}$ (at 575 nm ), the equilibrium constant value, $k_{1}=[\mathrm{CuL}][\mathrm{H}] /[\mathrm{Cu}][\mathrm{LH}], \log k_{1}=3.33$ at $I=0.1$ and $\log k_{1}=3.07$ at $I=1.0$, in this case the acidity being expressed in terms of $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$and the stability constants, $\log \beta_{11}=11.19$ at $I=0.1$ and $\log \beta_{11}=10.45$ at $I=0.1$. Similar results have been obtained for this reagent and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ in a $20 \%$ dioxane medium ${ }^{1}$, where a $1: 1$ chelate with $\lambda_{\max } 577 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=2.23 .10^{4}$ and $\log \beta_{11}=10.7$ have been found.

The chelate CuL of TAN-3,6-S has properties similar to the chelate of cupric ions with 1-(2-thiazolylazo)-2-naphthol, for which the values, $\lambda_{\max } 580 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=2 \cdot 3.10^{4}$ and $\log k_{1}=3.73$ have been found in $50 \%$ dioxane ${ }^{19}$.

The coordination of another ligand particle to the metal atom with formation of a $1: 2$ chelate, which is usually accompanied by a pronounced increase in the molar absorption coefficient (even up to twice the value) with heterocyclic azo-dyes without any substantial change in the absorption maximum, has not been observed in the studied system, even in solutions with excess reagent; however, another, unusual equilibrium was found in these solutions, marked by a decrease in the absorbance

Table VI
Thiazolylazo-Dyes as Reagents for the Spectrophotometric Determination of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$

| Reagent | Complex |  |  | $\log k^{a}$ | pH | Medium | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M : L | $\text { naะ, } n m$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \cdot 10^{-4} \\ & \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1-(2-Thiazolylazo)--2-naphthol-3,6--disulphonic acid | 1:1 | 575 | $2 \cdot 13$ | $3 \cdot 38$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | water | - |
| 4-(2-Thiazolylazo)- resorcinol | 1:1 | 560 | $1 \cdot 94$ | $2 \cdot 13$ | 2.7-3.4 | $30 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ethanol | 15 |
| 2-(2-Thiazolylazo)--4-methoxyphenol | $1: 1$ $1: 2$ | 631 625 | 1.50 3.70 | $1 \cdot 66$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \cdot 0 \\ 7 \cdot 1-9 \cdot 1 \end{gathered}$ | $1 \%$ ethanol and $0.1 \%$ PVA extraction into benzene | 16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-(4-Methyl- } \\ & \text {-2-thiazolylazo)- } \\ & \text {-4-methoxyphenol } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 1 \\ & 1: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 634 \\ & 626 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1.83 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \cdot 0 \\ 8-9 \end{gathered}$ | $8 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ methanol extraction into isopentylalcohol | 17 |
| 2-(2-Thiazolylazo)--5-dimethylaminophenol | 1:2 | 570 | $3 \cdot 94$ | $-5 \cdot 6^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~m}- \\ & -\mathrm{NaOH} \end{aligned}$ | extraction into chloroform | 18 |

${ }^{a} k=[\mathrm{ML}][\mathrm{H}] /[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{LH}],{ }^{b}$ the instability constant.
compared to equimolar solutions and solutions with excess metal, also without a perceptible change in the absorption maximum. This equilibrium is most markedly manifested by a decrease in the horizontal parts of the pH curves for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ solutions with excess reagent (Fig. 4, curves 6 and 7) and by a decrease of the absorbance of the $A=\mathrm{f}\left(c_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ plot, in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$, starting from $c_{\mathrm{L}}=1.10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ (Fig. 6, curve 10). However, the absorbance vs concentration plot for the reagent alone has a rather uncommon shape: it exhibits positive deviations from linearity at higher $c_{\mathrm{L}}$ values (Fig. 6, curves $7-9$ ). The most probable explanation of these equilibria is the assumption of association of the reagent particles in solutions containing more than $10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ reagent; this phenomenon has already been described for sulphonated dyes ${ }^{20}$. The decrease in the absorbance in solutions containing $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ions (complex CuL ) could be caused by reagent association with complex CuL , the additional ligand not being coordinated to the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ central ion but associated with the ligand bound in the complex, forming a chelate $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{LH})$ with a lower chromophoric effect of its ligand component. Reliable explanation of these equilibria would require, however, a more extensive experimental background. Therefore, in the spectrophotometric determination of copper with TAN-3,6-S it is desirable to avoid large reagent excess because of these equilibria, even if they do not unfavourably affect the reproducibility of the results.

Comparison of some thiazolylazo-dyes proposed for the spectrophotometric determination of copper (Table VI) and of some other reagents of this type, whose chelates with copper were studied for different purposes ${ }^{21,22}$, shows that TAN-3,6-S ranks among promising reagents for the determination of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ because of the relatively high value of the molar absorption coefficient and the equilibrium constant of its chelate with cupric ions. Its main advantage is the solubility and the possibility of its application in aqueous media.

The authors are very obliged to Professor L. Sommer for valuable discussions and continued interest in this work. They also thank Dr V. Svoboda from the Research Institute of Pure Chemicals, Lachema, Brno, for supplying a sample of TAN-3,6-S.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Constant $k_{1}=[\mathrm{CuL}][\mathrm{H}] /[\mathrm{Cu}][\mathrm{LH}]$ determined graphically and recalculated to $k_{2}=[\mathrm{CuL}]$. . $[\mathrm{H}]^{2} /[\mathrm{Cu}]\left[\mathrm{LH}_{2}^{+}\right]$, employing the relation, $k_{2}=k_{1} K_{\mathrm{a} 1}$, where $K_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ is the dissociation constant of the reagent, $\mathrm{LH}_{2}^{+}$form; ${ }^{b}$ correlation coefficient; ${ }^{c}$ the sum of the squares of the deviations of the measured and the calculated absorbance; ${ }^{d}$ ascending parts in the region, $\left(-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)=$ $=0.0-1.2 ;{ }^{e}$ the value determined from the horizontal part as $A / c_{\mathrm{L}}$ or $A / c_{\mathrm{M}}$ at 575 nm ; ${ }^{f}$ the average of the values for $\lambda 540,560,575$ and 600 nm .

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ The amount increasing the absorbance by $2 \%$ rel.; ${ }^{b}$ the weight ratio given for the cations, the resulting molarity for the anions; ${ }^{c}$ absorbance decreased by $2 \%$ rel., the other anions increase the absorbance in the same manner as increasing ionic strength.

